

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,670	01/22/2001	Shu Murayama	0649-0769P-SP	6398
7590 03/21/2005 BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP P.O. Box 747			EXAMINER	
			LEE, CHI HO A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Falls Church, VA 22040-0747				TALER NOMBER
			2663	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/765,670	MURAYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
20,188	Andrew Lee	2663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replace of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 (October 2004.				
-	s action is non-final.				
·— · · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,7 and 10-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-6,8 and 9 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 26 October 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected or drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/765,670

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 7, 10, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Obikane U.S. Patent Number 6,404,818.

Re Claims 1, 14, fig. 12 teaches a Video encoder (a coding section) coding plurality of SvL data (a plurality of media data) to output S21 respectively; Multiplexer 29 for Multiplexing 29 and Packetizer 21B for packeting the output S21 and generating plurality PES packetized coded video data (a plurality of packet springs) and outputting form 29 S41 (See col. 8, lines 30-55); further teaches the Packetizer 21B (a parameter setting section) for selectively adding parameter information (a parameter) to the multiplexed packet string (See col. 10, lines 3-28).

Re Claim 2, refer to Claim 1, Packetizer 21B (a video signal dividing circuit) for dividing a video signal into plurality of PES packets; further included a Encoding Control unit 26 (a control circuit) for controlling each video encoding units 21-24.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,670

Art Unit: 2663

Re Claim 7, refer to Claim 2, wherein the wherein the PES packet includes PTS parameter indicating the presentation time stamp (clock reproduction information) for synchronization (See col. 8, lines 40-43).

Re Claim 10, refer to Claim 1, wherein the parameter conforms to MPEG 2 (See col. 8, lines 21-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Obikane U.S. Patent Number 6,404,818.

Re Claims 11-13, Obikane teaches the parameters conform to MPEG2 encoding scheme. Obikane fails to explicitly teach conforming to MPEG4, H.223, and H.225. However, these standards are readily available industry standards from ITU organization. Hence, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify parameter setting to any available industry standards so that the system can be utilized for devices compatible with these standards.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,670

Art Unit: 2663

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 3-6, and 8-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In combination with claims 2 and 4, prior art fails to if no signals is input from any other media data coding and multiplexing apparatuses, the parameter setting section sets only a parameter in the output multiplex stream not requiring continuity.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 7, and 10-14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,670 Page 5

Art Unit: 2663

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AI ANIV LEE 3/14/05 ANIV LEE